1. During the short reign of the boy king, Edward VI (1547–53), the Reformation begun under Henry VIII was carried further. Services were held in English, images were banished from the church, and the use of holy water was forbidden. During the following reign of Mary, a Catholic, many Protestant reformers fled abroad and were influenced by the Calvinist doctrines and practices of the Church of Geneva.

2. The extreme Protestants or “Puritans” were opposed to the daily wearing of clerical cap and gown by the clergy and the wearing of the surplice in church. This “Vestarian controversy” was at its height in the 1560s. The Admonitions Directed unto the High Court of Parliament, by John Field and Thomas Wilcox (1572), attacked not only conventional clerical dress but the Prayer Book, episcopacy, and the whole structure of the Church of England.


4. 1 Corinthians 10.15. The next quotation is from I Corinthians 11.13.

5. See Luke 12.57. “Them of Berea”: the inhabitants of the Macedonian city of Berea who “received the word with all readiness of mind” when Paul preached to them, according to Acts 14.10–11.

RICHARD HOOKER

From Of the Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity

From The Preface

[ON MODERATION IN CONTROVERSY]

***

Amongst ourselves, there was in King Edward’s days some question moved by reason of a few men’s scrupulosity touching certain things. And beyond seas, of them which fled in the days of Queen Mary, some contenting themselves abroad with the use of their own service book at home authorized before their departure out of the realm, others liking better the common prayer book of the Church of Geneva translated, those smaller contentions before begun were by this mean somewhat increased. Under the happy reign of Her Majesty which now is, the greatest matter a while contended for was the wearing of the cap and surplice, till there came Admonitions Directed unto the High Court of Parliament, by men who, concealing their names, thought it glory enough to discover their minds and affections, which were now universally bent even against all the orders and laws wherein this Church is found unconformable to the platform of Geneva. Concerning the defender of which admonitions, all that I mean to say is this: “There will come a time when three words uttered with charity and meekness shall receive a far more blessed reward than three thousand volumes written with disdainful sharpness of wit.” But the manner of men’s writing must not alienate our hearts from the truth if it appear they have the truth, as the followers of the same defender do think he hath, and in that persuasion they follow him no otherwise than himself doth Calvin, Beza, and others, with the like persuasion that they in this cause had the truth. We being as fully persuaded otherwise, it resteth that some kind of trial be used to find out which part is in error.

The first mean whereby Nature teacheth men to judge good from evil, as well in laws as in other things, is the force of their own discretion. Hereunto therefore Saint Paul referreth oftentimes his own speech to be considered by them that heard him, “I speak as to them which have understanding; judge ye what I say.” Again, afterward, “Judge in yourselves, is it comely that a woman pray uncovered?” The exercise of this kind of judgment our Saviour requireth in the Jews. In them of Berea the Scripture commendeth it. Finally, what-
soever we do, if our own secret judgment consent not unto it as fit and good to be done, the doing of it, to us, is sin, although the thing itself be allowable. Saint Paul's rule therefore generally is, "Let every man in his own mind be fully persuaded of that thing which he either alloweth or doth." Some things are so familiar and plain that truth from falsehood and good from evil is most easily discerned in them, even by men of no deep capacity. And of that nature, for the most part are things absolutely unto all men's salvation necessary, either to be held or denied, either to be done or avoided. For which cause Saint Augustine acknowledgeth that they are not only set down, but also plainly set down in scripture, so that he which heareth or readeth may, without any great difficulty, understand. Other things also there are belonging, though in a lower degree of importance, unto the offices of Christian men, which, because they are more obscure, more intricate and hard to be judged of, therefore God hath appointed some to spend their whole time principally in the study of things divine, to the end that in these more doubtful cases their understanding might be a light to direct others. "If the understanding power or faculty of the soul be," saith the Grand Physician, "like unto the bodily sight, not of equal sharpness in all, what can be more convenient than that, even as the dark-sighted man is directed by the clear about things visible, so likewise in matters of deeper discourse the wise in heart do show the simple where his way lieth?" In the doubtful cases of law, what man is there who seeth not how requisite it is that professors of skill in that faculty be our directors? So is it in all other kinds of knowledge. And even in this kind likewise the Lord hath himself appointed that "the Priest's lips should preserve knowledge, and that other men should seek the truth at his mouth, because he is the messenger of the Lord of Hosts." Gregory Nazianzen, offended at the people's too great presumption in controlling the judgment of them to whom in such cases they should rather have submitted their own, seeketh by earnest entreaty to stay them within their bounds: "Presume not, ye that are sheep, to make yourselves guides of them that should guide you; neither seek ye to overskip the fold which they about you have pitched. It sufficeth for your part, if ye can well frame yourselves to be ordered. Take not upon you to judge your judges, nor to make them subject to your laws who should be a law to you. For God is not a God of sedition and confusion but of order and of peace." But ye will say that if the guides of the people be blind, the common sort of men must not close up their own eyes and be led by the conduct of such; if the priest be partial in the law, the flock must not therefore depart from the ways of sincere truth, and in simplicity yield to be followers of him for his place' sake and office over them. Which thing, though in itself most true, is in your defense notwithstanding weak; because the matter wherein ye think that ye see and imagine that your ways are sincere is of far deeper consideration than any one amongst five hundred of you conceiveth. Let the vulgar sort amongst you know that there is not the least branch of the cause wherein they are so resolute but to the trial of it a great deal more appertaineth than their concept doth reach unto. I write not this in disgrace of the simplest that way given, but I would gladly they knew the nature of that cause wherein they think themselves thoroughly instructed and are not; by means whereby they

6. See Romans 14.5
7. Galen, Greek physician of the 2nd century c.e., whose works were long accepted as the highest medical authority.
8. See Malachi 2.7. "Gregory Nazianzen" is St. Gregory of Nazianzus, a 4th-century bishop.
9. I.e., I am not disparaging ordinary, uneducated people.
daily run themselves, without feeling their own hazard, upon the dint of the Apostle’s sentence against evil speakers as touching things wherein they are ignorant.¹ If it be granted a thing unlawful for private men, not called into public consultation, to dispute which is the best state of civil polity, with a desire of bringing in some other kind than that under which they already live, for of such disputes I take it his meaning was—if it be a thing confessed that of such questions they cannot determine without rashness, inasmuch as a great part of them consisteth in special circumstances, and for one kind as many reasons may be brought as for another—is there any reason in the world why they should better judge what kind of regiment² ecclesiastical is the fittest?

* * *

From Book 1, Chapter 8

[ON THE SCOPE OF THE SEVERAL LAWS]

* * * The general and perpetual voice of men is as the sentence¹ of God himself. For that which all men have at all times learned, Nature herself must needs have taught, and God being the author of Nature, her voice is but his instrument. By her from Him we receive whatsoever in such sort we learn. Infinite duties there are, the goodness whereof is by this rule sufficiently manifested, although we had no warrant besides to approve them. The apostle St. Paul having speech concerning the heathen saith of them “They are a law unto themselves.”² His meaning is, that by force of the light of reason wherewith God illuminaeth everyone which cometh into the world, men being enabled to know truth from falsehood, and good from evil, do thereby learn in many things what the will of God is; which will himself not revealing by any extraordinary means unto them, but they be natural discourse attaining the knowledge thereof, seem the makers of those laws which indeed are his, and they but only the finders of them out. A law therefore generally taken is a directive rule unto goodness of operation. The rule of divine operations outward is the definite appointment of God’s own wisdom set down within himself. The rule of natural agents that work by simple necessity is the determination of the wisdom of God, known to God himself, the principal director of them, but not unto them that are directed to execute the same. The rule of natural agents which work after a sort of their own accord, as the beasts do, is the judgment of common sense or fancy concerning the sensible³ goodness of those objects wherewith they are moved. The rule of ghostly or immaterial natures, as spirits and angels, is their intuitive intellectual judgment concerning the amiable beauty and high goodness of that object, which with unspeakable joy and delight doth set them on work. The rule of voluntary agents on earth is the sentence that reason giveth concerning the goodness of those things which they are to do. And the sentences which reason giveth are some more, some less general, before it come to define in particular actions what is good. The main principles of reason are in themselves apparent. For to make nothing evident of itself unto man’s understanding were to take away all possibility of

¹. Ignorant people run the risk of the condemnation of the apostle Peter: “But these, as natural brute beasts, made to be taken and destroyed, speak evil of the things that they understand not; and shall utterly perish in their own corruption” (2 Peter 2.12).
². Government.
³. Perceptible by the senses.
knowing anything. And herein that of Theophrastus is true, “They that seek a reason of all things do utterly overthrow reason.”

From Book 1, Chapter 10

[THE FOUNDATIONS OF SOCIETY]

That which hitherto we have set down is, I hope, sufficient to show their brutishness which imagine that religion and virtue are only as men will accompt of them, that we might make as much accompt, if we would, of the contrary, without any harm unto ourselves, and that in Nature they are as indifferent one as the other. We see then how Nature itself teacheth laws and statutes to live by. The laws which have been hitherto mentioned do bind men absolutely, even as they are men, although they have never any settled fellowship, never any solemn agreement amongst themselves what to do or not to do. But forasmuch as we are not by ourselves sufficient to furnish ourselves with competent store of things needful for such a life as our nature doth desire, a life fit for the dignity of man, therefore to supply those defects and imperfections which are in us living single and solely, by ourselves, we are naturally induced to seek communion and fellowship with others. This was the cause of men’s uniting themselves at the first in politic societies, which societies could not be without government, nor government without a distinct kind of law from that which hath been already declared. Two foundations there are which bear up public societies, the one a natural inclination whereby all men desire a sociable life and fellowship, the other an order expressly or secretly agreed upon, touching the manner of their union in living together. The latter is that which we call the law of a commonweal, the very soul of a politic body, the parts whereof are by law animated, held together and set on work in such actions as the common good requireth. Laws politic, ordained for external order and regiment amongst men, are never framed as they should be, unless presuming the will of man to be inwardly obstinate, rebellious, and averse from all obedience unto the sacred laws of his nature—in a word, unless presuming man to be in regard of his depraved mind little better than a wild beast—they do accordingly provide notwithstanding so to frame his outward actions that they be no hindrance unto the common good for which societies are instituted; unless they do this, they are not perfect. It resteth therefore that we consider how Nature findeth out such laws of government as serve to direct even nature depraved to a right end.

All men desire to lead in this world an happy life. That life is led most happily, wherein all virtue is exercised without impediment or let. The Apostle in exhorting men to contentment although they have in this world no more than very bare food and raiment, giveth us thereby to understand that those are even the lowest of things necessary; that if we should be stripped of all those things without which we might possibly be, yet these must be left, that destitution in these is such an impediment as, till it be removed, suffereth not the mind of man to admit any other care. For this cause first God assigned Adam maintenance of life, and then appointed him a law to observe. For this cause after men began to grow to a number, the first thing we read they gave themselves unto was the tilling of the earth and the feeding of cattle. Having by this mean whereon to live, the principal actions of their life afterward are

1. Esteem of, value.
2. Sufficient quantity.
3. Commonwealth, originally the “common good.”
noted by the exercise of their religion. True it is that the Kingdom of God must
be the first thing in our purposes and desires. But inasmuch as righteous life
presupposeth life, inasmuch as to live virtuously it is impossible except we live,
therefore the first impediment which naturally we endeavor to remove is pen-
ury and want of things without which we cannot live. Unto life many imple-
ments are necessary; moe, if we seek, as all men naturally do, such a life as
hath in it joy, comfort, delight, and pleasure. To this end we see how quickly
sundry arts mechanical were found out in the very prime of the world. As
things of greatest necessity are always first provided for, so things of greatest
dignity are most accompted of by all such as judge rightly. Although therefore
riches be a thing which every man wisheth, yet no man of judgment can esteem
it better to be rich than wise, virtuous, and religious. If we be both or either
of these, it is not because we are so born. For into the world we come as empty
of the one as of the other, as naked in mind as we are in body. Both which
necessities of man had at the first no other helps and supplies than only
domestical, such as that which the prophet implieth, saying, “Can a mother
forget her child?”; such as that which the Apostle mentioneth, saying, “He
that careth not for his own is worse than an infidel”; such as that concerning
Abraham, “Abraham will command his sons and his household after him that
they keep the way of the Lord.” But neither that which we learn of ourselves,
nor that which others teach us, can prevail where wickedness and malice have
taken deep root. If therefore when there was but as yet one only family in the
world no means of instruction human or divine could prevent effusion of
blood, how could it be chosen but that when families were multiplied and
increased upon earth, after separation each providing for itself, envy, strife,
contention, and violence must grow amongst them? For hath not Nature fur-
nished man with wit and valor, as it were with armor, which may be used as
well unto extreme evil as good? Yea, were they not used by the rest of the world
unto evil, unto the contrary only by Seth, Enoch, and those few the rest in
that line? We all make complaint of the iniquity of our times; not unjustly,
for the days are evil. But compare them with those times wherein there were
no civil societies, with those times wherein there was as yet no manner of
public regiment established, with those times wherein there were not above
eight persons righteous living upon the face of the earth, and we have surely
good cause to think that God hath blessed us exceedingly and hath made us
behold most happy days. To take away all such mutual grievances, injuries,
and wrongs, there was no way but only by growing into composition and agree-
ment amongst themselves by ordaining some kind of government public and
by yielding themselves subject thereunto, that unto whom they granted author-
ity to rule and govern, by them the peace, tranquility, and happy estate of the
rest might be procured.5

From Book 1, Chapter 12

[THE NEED FOR REVEALED LAW]

5. More.
6. Isaiah (49.17).
7. Paul, in 1 Timothy 5.8.
9. How could it be otherwise than.
1. Intelligence.
2. The virtuous line of Seth is described in Genesis 4.25–26. It was in the time of Seth and his
son Enos that “men began to call upon the name
of the Lord.”
require at the hands of men in a number of things particular, so far hath the natural understanding even of sundry whole nations been darkened, that they have not discerned—no, not gross iniquity—to be sin. Again, being so prone as we are to fawn upon ourselves, and to be ignorant as much as may be of our own deformities, without the feeling sense whereof we are most wretched, even so much the more because not knowing them we cannot as much as desire to have them taken away, how should our festered sores be cured but that God hath delivered a law as sharp as the two-edged sword, piercing the very closest and most unsearchable corners of the heart which the law of nature can hardly, human laws by no means possible, reach unto? Hereby we know even secret concupiscence to be sin, and are made fearful to offend, though it be but in a wandering cogitation.1

From Book 1, Chapter 16
[CONCLUSION]

Thus we see how even one and the self same thing is under divers considerations conveyed through many laws, and that to measure by any one kind of law all the actions of men were to confound the admirable order, wherein God hath disposed all laws, each as in nature, so in degree distinct from other. Wherefore that here we may briefly end, of law there can be no lesse acknowledged, then that her seat is the bosom of God, her voice the harmony of the world, all things in heaven and earth do her homage, the very least as feeling her care, and the greatest as not exempted from her power, but angels and men and creatures of what condition soever, though each in different sort and manner, yet all with uniform consent, admiring her as the mother of their peace and joy.
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1. A reference to Hebrews 4.12: “For the word of God is quick, and powerful, and sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing even to the dividing asunder of soul and spirit and of the joints and marrow, and is a discerner of the thoughts and intents of the heart.”